

6. Conclusion

This study presents a graphical concept to address the dimensional and geometric viability of 3D printers with test targets, named as GETTs that are complementary to those currently available. This study has validated that dimensional failures can be observed and estimated visually with systematic target designs. The GETTs examples from three suites of test targets (line, angular and circular) presented in this study have demonstrated the feasibility in detecting geometric and dimensional errors. With further validation with high addressability machines and more machine-specific target designs, GETTs have the potential as a simplified print quality inspection tool, a working standard, a target for in-line system control, and for studying the system or subsystem responses to process parameters.

The aims of this research are to develop geometric element test targets, measurement methodologies and to assess system responses of additive manufacturing (AM) processes. By exploiting and measuring systematic limitations, the project seeks to determine transfer functions between digital representations and printed parts as well as to explore opportunities for use of the GETTs in in-line assessments and process controls.

Acknowledgements

This research is supported by the Melbert B. Cary Jr. endowment from the College of Imaging Arts and Science at Rochester Institute of Technology.

References

- [1] NIST's Measurement Science for Additive Manufacturing Program [online]. Available at <http://www.nist.gov/el/isd/sbm/msam.cfm>.
- [2] Shawn Moylan¹, John Slotwinski, April Cooke, Kevin Jurens, and M. Alkan Donmez, "An Additive Manufacturing Test Artifact," in Journal of Research of the National Institute of Standards and Technology, Volume 119, pp. 429-459, 2014.
- [3] S. Moylan, J. Slotwinski, A. Cooke, K. Jurens, and M. A. Donmez, "Proposal for a Standardized Test Artifact for Additive Manufacturing Machines and Processes", Proceedings of the Solid Freeform Fabrication Symposium, Austin, Texas, August 6-8, 2012.
- [4] Li Yang, Md Ashabul Anam, "An investigation of standard test part design for additive manufacturing," proceeding in Solid Freeform Fabrication Symposium, pp. 901-922, 2014.
- [5] Robert Chung, Fred Hsu, Michael Riordan, Franz Sigg, "Test Targets 8.0: A Collaborative effort exploring the use of scientific methods for color imaging and process control," 2008.
- [6] Eric K. Zeisea, D. René Rasmussenb, Yee S. Nga, Edul Dalalb, Ann McCarthyc, and Don Williamsd, "INCITS W1.1 development update: Appearance-based image quality standards for printers," Proceedings of Spie-the International Society for Optical Engineering, Vol. 6808, Jan 2008.

- [7] Robert Chung, Franz Sigg, Dimitrios Ploumidis, "Test Targets 6.0: A Collaborative effort exploring the use of scientific methods for color imaging and process control," RIT School of Print Media Publication, Nov. 2006.
- [8] F. Sigg and D. Romano, "How to Calibrate and Linearize an Imagesetter Using the Digital UGRA/FOGRA Wedge", Society for Imaging Science and Technology, Proceedings of the Fourth Technical Symposium on Prepress Proofing and Printing, Oct. 1995, pp. 88-92.
- [9] F. Sigg, "Ray_Doc_6", Rochester Institute of Technology, May, 2005.
- [10] Franz Sigg, "Testing for Resolution and Contrast," RIT School of Print Media Publication, Id TF_12, version v2.2.
- [11] H. Mizes, "Empirically Based Printer Model of Halftone Structure", NIP & Digital Fabrication Conferences, Vol. 2004, No. 1, 2004, pp. 35-40.
- [12] Bradley H. Jared , Hy D. Tran , David Saiz , Christopher L. Boucher , and Joseph E. Dinardo, "Metrology for Additive Manufacturing Parts and Processes," Spring Topical Meeting, vol.57, 2014
- [13] D. Dimitrov, "Advances in three dimensional printing – state of the art and future perspectives," Rapid Prototyping Journal, Vol. 12 Iss 3 pp. 136 – 147, January 2006.
- [14] Chua, Leong, Lim C.S 2010," Rapid Prototyping: Principles and Application, 3rd Edition, World Scientific Publishing" Co. Pte. Ltd, 2010, 512p.