Determining the Complex Young’s Modulus of Polymer Materials Fabricated with Microstereolithography
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Abstract

Microstereolithography is capable of producing millimeter-scale polymer parts having micron-scale features. Material properties of the cured polymers can vary depending on build parameters such as exposure time and laser power. Current techniques for determining the material properties of these polymers are limited to static measurements via micro/nanoindentation, leaving the dynamic response undetermined. Frequency-dependent material parameters, such as the complex Young’s modulus, have been determined for other relaxing materials by measuring the wave speed and attenuation of an ultrasonic pulse traveling through the materials. This method is now applied to determine the frequency-dependent material parameters of polymers manufactured using microstereolithography. Because the ultrasonic wavelength is comparable to the part size, a model that accounts for both geometric and viscoelastic effects is used to determine the material properties using experimental data.

Introduction

Parts produced by additive manufacturing (AM) are increasingly utilized for applications such as energy absorbing honeycomb structures, prosthetic limbs, and shock isolation systems where the response of the material to dynamic loading must be considered [1, 2, 3]. Due to the geometric design freedom introduced by AM, parts can achieve mechanical performance levels previously unattainable by other manufacturing technologies [4]. Successful prediction of the mechanical performance of parts made from AM processes requires accurate mechanical modeling which, in turn, requires precise knowledge of rate-dependent material properties of the as-built parts.

The frequency dependent modulus that relates the stress developed in the material due to a dynamically applied strain is one such property. The material property describing this relationship is known as the dynamic modulus, which is frequency dependent and expressed as a complex quantity that accounts for both storage and loss of mechanical energy. The modulus of low-loss elastic materials like metals is approximately rate independent for most applications, and can therefore be described with static elastic moduli. The static Young’s modulus for the uniaxial loading case is one such property that can be measured through quasi-static tensile or three point bending tests. If the material exhibits viscoelastic behavior, the mathematical description of the frequency dependent storage and loss moduli require a more generalized constitutive model [5], the parameters of which must be obtained experimentally.

When a viscoelastic material is dynamically loaded, some of the imparted strain energy is stored elastically within the material while some of the energy is dissipated. The amount of
energy that is stored and dissipated can vary with the frequency of the applied load. A general form of the complex modulus, \( E(\omega) \), that captures this phenomenon is

\[
E(\omega) = E'(\omega) + jE''(\omega),
\]

where the real part, \( E'(\omega) \), is the storage modulus corresponding to the frequency-dependent, elastic storage of energy, and the imaginary part \( E''(\omega) \) is the loss modulus that accounts for the dissipation of dynamic energy. Both the storage and loss modulus must be determined to obtain the dynamic modulus. However, the standard quasi-static test previously mentioned only captures the zero frequency component of the storage modulus. In order to obtain the complete behavior of the dynamic modulus other testing methods must be explored.

One such method, ultrasonic characterization, is of particular interest to the additive manufacturing community because it is a nondestructive way of measuring dynamic material properties over a large range of frequencies. It is well documented that the material properties of parts produced via AM can vary across machines, parts, and even different locations of the same part [6, 7]. Therefore, a methodology must be developed that can determine material properties for individual parts both quickly and effectively; furthermore, to be applicable to a range of processes, including microstereolithography, it must be applicable to parts with small characteristic dimensions, on the order of millimeters or even smaller. In this paper, an experimental approach and analysis procedure is applied to determine the dynamic modulus of an additively manufactured part using ultrasonic characterization. The procedure, in general, can capture the dynamic modulus for a large range of frequencies, geometries, and part sizes and was demonstrated on a rod produced by microstereolithography to determine the dynamic modulus in the ultrasonic range of 400 kHz to 1.3 MHz.

**Microstereolithography**

Microstereolithography is an additive manufacturing process based on photopolymerization in which a liquid polymer solidifies when exposed to a particular wavelength of light. Figure 1 provides a schematic of a typical microstereolithography system [8]. The microstereolithography system builds parts layer by layer by activating/deactivating each pixel of a Digital Micromirror Device (DMD) so that the correct image is formed when a UV light source reflects off the device. Then through a series of optics, the light image is greatly reduced in size and focused on the top of a build stage at the surface of a volume of liquid photopolymer. A thin layer of the photopolymer solidifies on the build plate that matches the light image. Once the layer has solidified the build stage moves downward, the liquid polymer flows over the part to form another layer, and the build process is repeated to form the final part.

![Schematic of microstereolithography system](image)

*Figure 1: Schematic of microstereolithography system [8].*
The material properties of the final part produced by microstereolithography will vary based on several factors including the layer thickness, exposure time, and volume fraction of constituents [9, 10]. These parameters can vary from build to build based on desired performance. For example, some builds may require a smaller layer thickness for increased part resolution. Therefore, a metrology part should be produced for each set of build parameters to evaluate the dynamic modulus. When developing the metrology part, the build envelope must be considered because it plays a vital role in determining what testing methods can be used. The system of interest can produce parts with resolution on the order of tens of microns with overall parts sizes of about 2.5mm x 2.5mm x 15mm. The resolution and part size can vary from system to system and the system used in this paper at the University of Akron is capable of the dimensions previously described. With these geometric constraints, the testing methods to consider for determining the dynamic modulus are the Impulse Excitation Technique, Dynamic Mechanical Analysis, Nanoindentation, and ultrasonic material characterization.

**Dynamic Modulus Determination**

The Impulse Excitation Technique (IET) determines the dynamic modulus by providing an impulsive load to a sample, which excites the material to vibrate at its natural frequencies [11]. The quality factor and dynamic modulus can be determined for the material by measuring the ringdown response, but only at the natural frequencies of the specimen. To obtain the modulus at other frequencies, multiple specimens must be produced, each having different geometries that permit the measurement of the dynamic modulus over a wide range of frequencies. This is rarely an efficient strategy for characterization over wide frequency ranges. Further, measurement accuracy can be negatively impacted since the material properties of additively manufactured parts often vary from part to part.

The Dynamic Mechanical Analyzer (DMA) is a commercially available device that can be used to determine the dynamic modulus of viscoelastic materials [12]. The DMA provides a time-dependent load to a specimen (usually sinusoidally varying) in a temperature-controlled environment and measures the response of the part to the load. The DMA then sweeps through various ambient temperatures to obtain the frequency-dependent response of the material at those discrete temperatures. The response for all temperature and frequency combinations can then be used to determine the dynamic modulus of the material for a wide range of frequencies and temperatures using the principle of time-temperature superposition [13]. This range of frequencies and temperatures can actually exceed the testable range if the material is thermorheologically simple, meaning regardless of the initial stress, the stress relaxation times share the same dependence on changes in temperature [14].

Mixtures of photopolymers and photoinitiators have been shown to be thermorheologically complex because they exhibit multiple time-temperature shifts from multiple viscoelastic domains [15]. The principle of time-temperature superposition cannot be used for these thermorheologically complex materials so the maximum testable frequency of the DMA bounds the range of attainable information about the dynamic modulus. For commercially available DMAs this maximum testable frequency is around a few hundred hertz [16]. Furthermore, the DMA requires the specimen to be of a certain geometry to interface with its
fixtures. For certain additive manufacturing methods like microstereolithography, it may be prohibitively difficult to produce a part of adequate size to interface with the provided fixtures, therefore another testing method is needed to test these parts. It should be noted that Chartrain et al. used DMA to determine the effect of temperature on the dynamic modulus of a thin film manufactured by microstereolithography [17]. They were able to produce a viable part because the build volume of their system was 4mm x 6mm x 35mm which allowed them to produce a larger test specimen.

Another method, closely related to the DMA is the use of a nanoindentor to deform the material of interest. Typically, nanoindentation is used to determine the static modulus of elasticity, but if the machine is carefully calibrated and a sinusoidal indenting force is applied, the response of the material can be used to determine the frequency-dependent dynamic modulus. The careful calibration required is an extensive process and, even if carried out to ASTM standards, the results can differ when compared to the DMA [18]. Similar to the DMA it also has a limited range of frequencies that can be used to determine the dynamic modulus limiting the efficacy of the testing.

A method that allows for a large range of frequencies to be evaluated for parts of various sizes is ultrasonic material characterization. Ultrasonic material characterization measures the propagation of an input wave pulse in a specimen and relates the response to the material properties and geometry through a forward model [19]. The forward model is the cornerstone of the method because it predicts wave propagation through a specimen. Forward models, which allow the measured response to be inverted to determine material properties, can be constructed for simple and complex geometries. Therefore, ultrasonic material characterization was selected as the method to determine the dynamic modulus of the metrology part produced using microstereolithography.

Before beginning ultrasonic material characterization, a geometry must be selected for the dynamic modulus metrology part. A natural choice for the design is a cylindrical rod due to its ability to be produced rapidly by all additive manufacturing technologies. A forward model for wave propagation in a cylindrical rod is well known and will be discussed in the next section.

**Ultrasonic Material Characterization**

The simplest definition of ultrasonic material characterization is an experimental method that uses measurements of the speed of sound in a specimen paired with a forward model to determine the material properties of the specimen. The forward model relates the frequency-dependent sound speed to the geometry and frequency-dependent material properties of the specimen. Accurate measurement of the sound speed paired with knowledge of specimen geometry can therefore be used to infer the material properties via a minimization of the difference between the experimental data and forward model predictions as the properties are varied [20].

The simplest example of ultrasonic material characterization is the determination of a frequency-independent Young’s modulus of a material by measuring the time-of-flight, or the time it takes for a wave to travel from one point of a material to another point, in a lossless
material. The sound speed, which is more accurately called the phase speed, \( c_p \), can be determined by \( c_p = d/t \), where \( t \) is the time-of-flight and \( d \) is the distance traveled by the wave. The forward model that relates the phase speed and the material properties for longitudinal pulse propagation in a thin rod is \( c_p = \sqrt{E/\rho} \), where \( E \) is the Young’s modulus and \( \rho \) is the density. The previous equation can be inverted to obtain \( E = \rho c_p^2 \) which allows the Young’s modulus to be determined from measurable quantities.

The use of the time-of-flight method described above determines a single value of the phase speed because in that example the Young’s modulus was assumed to be frequency-independent. For most geometries and materials this is not necessarily true. If the Young’s modulus is frequency-dependent, the phase speed and attenuation, \( \alpha \), will be dependent on the frequency, \( \omega \), as well [21] which results in the complex wavenumber:

\[
\xi(\omega) = \frac{\omega}{c_p(\omega)} - j\alpha(\omega). \tag{2}
\]

where \( \exp(j\omega t) \) time dependence is assumed.

The complex wavenumber is related to the material properties, frequency, and specimen geometry by a dispersion relationship. The dispersion relationship can then be inverted to relate the material properties to the phase speed and attenuation. While the dispersion relationship may be complicated and contain both real and imaginary components, the methodology for determining the material properties is nearly identical to that of the simple time-of-flight example illustrated above. First, the frequency-dependent, complex wavenumber is measured and the dispersion relationship describing the specimen is obtained. Next, the dispersion relationship is inverted to solve for the material properties in terms of the measured wavenumber and geometry, allowing for the determination of the dynamic modulus.

**Dispersion in a Solid Rod of Circular Cross-Section**

For the case of axisymmetric longitudinal wave propagation in a viscoelastic rod with circular cross-section, the dispersion relationship is governed by two factors: (i) the viscoelastic constitutive relationship of the material, and (ii) the geometry of the rod. Pochhammer and Chree were the first to independently describe the dispersion relationship for an infinite, elastic cylindrical rod, which was later modified by Zhao and Gary to incorporate viscoelasticity [22, 23, 24]. The dispersion relationship describing axisymmetric longitudinal wave propagation in an infinite, viscoelastic, cylindrical rod is

\[
\frac{2A}{r} (B^2 + \xi^2)J_1(Ar)J_1(Br) - (B^2 - \xi^2)^2J_0(Ar)J_1(Br) - 4\xi^2ABJ_1(Ar)J_0(Br) = 0, \tag{3}
\]

where the coefficients \( A \) and \( B \) are defined by

\[
A^2 = \frac{\rho \omega^2}{K + \frac{4}{3} \mu} - \xi^2, \tag{4}
\]

and
\[ B^2 = \frac{\rho \omega^2}{\mu} - \xi^2. \] (5)

In Eq. (3) \( r \) is the radius of the rod, \( J_n(z) \) is the \( n^{th} \) order Bessel function of the first kind, \( K \) is the bulk modulus, and \( \mu \) is the shear modulus of the material. The bulk modulus and the shear modulus are related to the Young’s modulus by \( E = 9K\mu/(3K + \mu) \) [25]. Note for \( |\xi r| \ll 1 \) and as \( \omega \to 0 \), Eq. (3) reduces to the simple case of longitudinal pulse propagation in a thin rod with phase speed \( c_p = \sqrt{E/\rho} \), as discussed in the previous section.

In the derivation of Eq. (3) it is assumed that the rod is infinitely long. In practice then, the length of the rod, \( l \), must be much larger than the wavelength, \( \lambda \), where

\[ \lambda = \frac{2\pi c_p}{\omega} \ll l. \] (6)

Therefore, lower frequency measurements may not be accurately described by Eq. (3), if the wavelength is on the order of the rod length. This condition must be considered when investigating rods produced by additive manufacturing systems because the build envelope determines the maximum rod length. It also should be noted that Eq. (3) cannot be easily inverted analytically as in the earlier example so that the material properties are given in terms of the frequency, wavenumber, and geometry. A numerical solver must be used to obtain the material properties once the other parameters are determined for each frequency. For a given frequency, there may be multiple solutions to Eq. (3), each corresponding to different modes of wave propagation along the axis of the rod. The existence of multiple modes requires careful treatment when measuring the relationship between wavenumber and frequency. Figure 2 shows equivalent representations of a dispersion plot for an elastic rod where in Figure 2(a) the horizontal axis is the frequency and the vertical axis is the phase speed and for Figure 2(b) the horizontal axis is the real component of the wavenumber and the vertical axis is the frequency.

Figure 2: Plot of the (a) phase speed as a function of frequency and (b) the real component of inverse wavelength as a function of frequency when multiple modes are present for \( r = 1.25 \) mm, \( E = 4.5 \) GPa, and \( \nu = 0.375 \).
As shown in Figure 2, when a cylindrical rod is excited, multiple modes may propagate through the rod and appear in the complex wavenumber measurement. The following section discusses how this measurement of the complex wavenumber is performed

**Determining Complex Wavenumber**

Determining the complex wavenumber requires measuring both the frequency-dependent phase speed and wave attenuation. This is accomplished by measuring ultrasonic wave packets at a range of positions as they propagate along the axis of the rod to obtain spatial and temporal information about the propagating wave. Performing a two-dimensional (2D) fast Fourier transform (FFT), with one dimension being time and the other space, one obtains the complex amplitude of the propagating pulses for frequency, wavenumber pairs. The data is easily visualized with a surface plot where the horizontal axis is the real component of the wavenumber, the vertical axis is the frequency, and the magnitude is the amplitude of the transformed signal. This representation is commonly referred to as the dispersion diagram of the elastic waveguide. If only axisymmetric modes are present, the amplitude of the surface plot is dramatically higher along curves that satisfy the dispersion relationship Eq. (3). The degree of agreement between the values calculated with Eq. (3) (the forward model) and the experimental data depends primarily on the accuracy of the material properties provided as inputs to the forward model. As previously discussed, multiple modes may be present, so filtering of the data may be required if one wishes to isolate any specific propagating mode. Once a single mode is isolated from the dispersion diagram, the frequency-dependent phase speed for the mode of interest can be extracted by determining the real component of the wave number for each frequency where the amplitude of the signal is highest. This allows for numerical determination of the phase speed.

With the isolated mode, it is then possible to use the filtered data to determine the imaginary component of the wavenumber. An inverse Fourier transform of the filtered data can be taken to yield the amplitude of the selected mode in time and space. For each measurement separated by a distance $\Delta x$, a FFT can be taken in the time domain. Then the transfer function $H(\omega)$ between adjacent measurements, $\tilde{U}_2(\omega, x)$ and $\tilde{U}_1(\omega, x)$, is defined to be

$$H(\omega) = \frac{\tilde{U}_2}{\tilde{U}_1} = e^{-a\Delta x} e^{-\frac{j\omega}{c_p} \Delta x}.$$  

(7)

The magnitude of the transfer function and knowledge of distance between measurement locations can then be used to determine the attenuation coefficient using the expression

$$\alpha = -\frac{1}{\Delta x} \ln |H(\omega)|.$$  

(8)

The method described above provides a means to determine the real and imaginary components of the complex wavenumber from experimentally obtained data. That information is then used to determine the material properties through inversion of the dispersion relationship Eq. (3).

The complex wavenumber can be constructed at each frequency where attenuation and phase speed information is available through Eq. (2). The complex wavenumber and frequency
are substituted into the dispersion relationship of Eq. (3) which is then separated into its real and imaginary components forming a system of two simultaneous equations. The material properties are then determined by obtaining values of the bulk modulus and shear modulus that minimize the magnitude of both equations through the use of the *fsolve* function in MATLAB®. This methodology was applied to a rod produced via microstereolithography. The experimental setup and results are discussed in the next section.

**Experimental Setup and Results**

An ultrasonic transducer, laser Doppler vibrometer (LDV), and linear stage were used to obtain spatial and temporal measurements. Figure 3 presents a schematic of the experimental setup where a rod is placed between two ultrasonic transducers and the LDV is positioned so that the laser path is perpendicular to the axis of the rod. The rod and transducers are mounted on a linear stage that allows the sample to be moved in the axial direction. The transducer transmits a broadband ultrasonic pulse that travels axially through the rod. While the pulse propagates axially, the surface of the rod contracts and expands radially due to the Poisson effect. The radial surface velocity is measured by the LDV. Multiple measurements along the rod axis can be taken with the LDV by incrementally moving the rod and transducer setup with the linear stage. This generates both spatial and temporal information of ultrasonic wave propagation along the rod.

![Figure 3: Schematic of experimental setup for surface velocity measurement of rod.](image)

It should be emphasized that this experimental setup has major advantages compared to other arrangements that could obtain spatio-temporal data. Two setups will briefly be discussed that could be implemented if the LDV were not available, however both methods introduce significant errors. In the absence of the LDV, the second ultrasonic transducer serves as a receiver for the transmitted signal of the first transducer. In one alternative experimental setup, spatio-temporal data could be collected by shortening/cutting the rod incrementally and measuring the received pulse for each length. Due to variation in loading conditions, the signal transmitted to the receive transducer will vary from measurement to measurement reducing the credibility of the results. A second alternative experiment uses a single rod of one length. The second transducer measures the first arrival of the wave as well as the second arrival that comes after reflection from the exciting transducer. Material properties are obtained through comparison of the two signals. The second arrival is due to an impedance mismatch between the transducer, coupling gel, and rod at the interface, therefore additional unknowns are introduced.
to the measurement. Furthermore, if the material attenuates strongly, the signal from the reflected signal will be too small to detect. For viscoelastic rods, like the ones selected, the reflected signal is far too weak to be measured above the noise level.

To validate the experimental and inversion approach, measurements were made with a material having known dynamic modulus in the ultrasonic range. Tests were therefore performed on a 12.7 mm diameter rod of acrylic (PMMA) with a length of 30 mm. The ultrasonic transducer was a 1 MHz Olympus V603-RB transducer with a nominal bandwidth of 0.69-1.29 MHz. The LDV was a Polytec OFV-505, and data was captured using a 60 MHz LeCroy WaveAce 102 digital oscilloscope. The linear stage allowed for a spatial resolution of 0.0254 mm. Spatial and temporal data were collected for the acrylic rod and the results were compared to the values previously determined in the literature. The real component of the dynamic modulus for acrylic has been shown to be nearly constant in the ultrasonic range with a value of 4.9 GPa. The imaginary component of the dynamic modulus is approximately linear with frequency with a slope of 1.31 dB/cm/MHz [26, 27]. Using these values and a Poisson value of 0.37, the multimodal, real-valued dispersion curves were generated and overlaid on the results of the spectral transform of the LDV data as shown in Fig. 4. There is excellent agreement between the experimental results and established values, especially for the first propagating mode.

![Figure 4: Experimental dispersion curves obtained from an acrylic rod (surface) with real components of dispersion curves determined from established values (white).](image)

Following the successful validation of the method with the acrylic rod, a rod was produced via microstereolithography with a diameter of 2.47 mm and a length of 15 mm. The polymer blend used to produce the rod was CD9021/HDDA (70w/30w), with 1 w% DMPA as the photo-initiator, and 0.15 w% Tinuvin 327® as the light absorber. After exciting the rod with the ultrasonic transducer, the radial surface velocity at various axial locations on the rod was measured with the LDV. A total of 250 measurements were taken at 0.0508 mm increments and every tenth result is shown in the waterfall plot of Fig. 5. The horizontal axis is time and the vertical axis indicates distance from the first measurement taken. The waterfall plot shows that...
pulse shape changes drastically as it progresses along the rod due to attenuation and dispersion effects in the waveguide.

![Figure 5: Waterfall plot demonstrating propagation of wave through rod.](image)

The dispersion diagram associated with the data is generated using the 2D FFT as discussed in the previous section and the results are shown in Fig. 6.

![Figure 6: Plot of frequency vs wavenumber obtained by 2D FFT of time-space data.](image)

To generate Fig. 6 a 250-point Hamming window was used in the spatial domain when taking the 2D FFT of the time-space data. Figure 6 shows that significant signal is being obtained for frequencies as low as 0.15 MHz and as high as 1.6 MHz. The wavelengths at the lower frequencies are on the order of the specimen length of 15 mm so the dispersion relationship at those frequencies likely does not fit the model of Eq. (3) due to the development of standing waves. From Fig. 6 it is also be evident that multiple modes are propagating through the rod. For initial analysis of the material properties, only information regarding the first mode of propagation is desired. To isolate the first mode, the piecewise, Gaussian filter with a standard deviation of 0.15 mm\(^{-1}\) shown in Fig. 7 was applied to the spectral data to obtain Fig. 8.
Figure 7: Plot of filter applied to spectral data.

Figure 8: Filtered plot of frequency vs wavenumber for the first mode.

With the filtered plot of Fig. 8, the real component of the wave number was obtained by selecting the wavenumber at each frequency with the highest signal amplitude. The curve depicting these results of the real component of the wavenumber against frequency is shown in Fig. 9 as well as the 5th order polynomial fit to the real dispersion curve data with an $R^2$ value of 0.99. The phase speed is also plotted in Figure 11(a) which was determined from the real part of the wavenumber using the relation $c_p = \omega \Re(\xi)$.

Figure 9: Real component of the wavenumber extracted from the wavenumber-frequency plot with regression curve.
The filtered spectral data was then inverted with a 2D IFFT to obtain a waterfall plot just like that of Fig 5 but with data containing information associated with the first mode contribution to the ultrasonic pulse, which is shown in Fig. 10.

![Waterfall plot of the first mode propagation.](image1)

Figure 10: Waterfall plot of the first mode propagation.

Each spatial measurement in Fig. 10 was then transformed to the temporal frequency domain and the transfer function between adjacent spatial measurements was obtained using Eq. (7). Eq. (8) was then used to obtain the frequency-dependent attenuation shown in Fig. 11(b). One standard deviation of variation is shown to demonstrate the low level of variance in the data.

![Plot of the (a) phase speed as a function of frequency and (b) attenuation as a function of frequency.](image2)

Figure 11: Plot of the (a) phase speed as a function of frequency and (b) attenuation as a function of frequency.
The attenuation of the material is characteristic of a viscoelastic material where the attenuation, in general, increases with frequency. With the real and imaginary components of the wavenumber determined, the dynamic modulus was determined by inverting the dispersion relation Eq. (3) and assuming a Poisson ratio of 0.375. Results are presented in Fig. 12 where the variation in the dynamic modulus, plotted in green, is derived from the variation in the attenuation and the blue line is determined with the mean attenuation values.

![Graph of real and imaginary components of dynamic modulus](image)

**Figure 12:** Plot of the (a) real component of dynamic modulus and (b) imaginary component of dynamic modulus.

The dynamic modulus was obtained for the frequency range of 0.4 MHz to 1.3 MHz although there was information outside this range. This range was chosen to match the nominal bandwidth of the transducer as well as to ensure the assumptions regarding the dispersion relationship were not violated. The values of the moduli are well within reasonable limits as the static modulus for both HDDA and CD9021 have been both experimentally determined to be around 1 GPa [28, 29].

To corroborate the value of the storage modulus of 0.59 MPa at 400 kHz that was determined through ultrasonic material characterization, a secondary experiment was designed to measure the storage modulus at lower frequencies. The experimental setup is shown in Figure 13
where the microstereolithography rod was mounted as a cantilever beam and excited by a shaker table to measure the resonance frequency.

Figure 13: Experimental setup showing microstereolithography rod mounted as a cantilever to measure the storage modulus at lower frequencies.

The same LDV used previously measured the velocity at the base of the cantilever beam as well as the tip of the cantilever beam while a logarithmically increasing frequency sweep from 1 Hz to 4500 Hz was performed over 120 s. By computing the transfer function between the top velocity and base velocity, shown in Figure 14, the natural frequency of the rod, $f_n$, was found to be 1.63 kHz.

Figure 14: Transfer function between the base velocity (measurement location 1 in Fig. 13) and tip velocity (measurement location 2 in Fig. 13).

The storage modulus at the natural frequency was determined to be 0.56 MPa from

$$E' = \left(\frac{2\pi f_n}{3.52}\right)^2 \frac{A\rho l^4}{I},$$

where the length of the rod, $l$, was 12 mm as opposed to the original 15 mm due to the size of the clamps, $A$ is the cross-sectional area, and $I$ is the area moment of inertia [30]. The experimentally obtained storage modulus at the resonance frequency of this rod (1.63 kHz) is well within the range of variance for the storage modulus at 400 kHz. The agreement in values indicates that the ultrasonic material characterization method is accurately predicting the storage modulus though caution should be used due to the difference in frequency of the corroborating results.
Conclusion

A methodology for determining the dynamic modulus of parts produced by additive manufacturing was applied to a rod produced by microstereolithography. By modeling the dispersion relationship for the part of interest and then measuring the frequency-dependent attenuation and sound speed experimentally, the dynamic modulus was determined. For the microstereolithography rod, the dynamic modulus was obtained in the ultrasonic range of 0.4 MHz - 1.3 MHz by utilizing the dispersion relationship for an infinite cylindrical rod. This range of frequencies is much larger than that of most methods to determine the dynamic modulus and quasi-static modulus like DMA, IET, and nanoindentation.

Future work will focus on obtaining the dynamic modulus at higher and lower frequencies than those already determined. Determination of the modulus at lower frequencies while simultaneously obtaining a wide frequency range of dynamic moduli can be accomplished by using a longer rod length or different dispersion relationship. To increase the upper limit on the frequency range, information regarding higher mode wave propagation can be explored.
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